

## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 020560

42

ORIGIN EUR-02

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SS-05 /008 R

66011

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPE:ADSENS:FF

APPROVED BY EUR:RPE:DLAMB

E - RSORENSEN

----- 105452

R 300214Z JAN 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO RUFNPS/USMISSION OECD PARIS 0000

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 020560

FOL RPT STATE 20560 ACTION JIDDA TEHRAN CARACAS KUWAIT LAGOS  
JAKARTA BRASILIA LIMA KINGSTON BUENOS AIRES MEXICO KINSHASA  
LUSAKA YAOUNDE CAIRO BELGRADE ALGIERS NEW DELHI USUN NEW YORK  
ISLAMABAD OTTAWA TOKYO BERN MADRID STOCKHOLM CANBERRA LONDON  
BONN PARIS BRUSSELS THE HAGUE ROME LUXEMBOURG DUBLIN 28 JAN.,

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 020560

KUWAIT POUCH BAGHDAD FOR ACTION

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG

SUBJECT: REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR WORK OF CIEC  
COMMISSIONS

REF: A) STATE 9962 B) PARIS 1259

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 020560

1. THE SUBSTANTIVE WORK OF THE CONFERENCE ON INTERNATIONAL  
ECONOMIC COOPERATION (CIEC) WILL BEGIN WHEN THE COMMISSIONS  
FOR ENERGY, RAW MATERIALS, DEVELOPMENT AND FINANCE MEET  
ON FEBRUARY 11. THESE COMMISSIONS WILL WORK THROUGHOUT  
THE YEAR AND SUBMIT THEIR REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO  
THE SECOND CIEC MINISTERIAL IN APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR.

2. TO SUPPLEMENT OUR WORK ON DEVELOPING SUBSTANTIVE POSITIONS FOR THE COMMISSIONS AND GAINING SUPPORT FOR THEM, WE WILL NEED ON A CONTINUING BASIS ACTION POSTS' ASSESSMENT OF THE VIEWS OF YOUR HOST GOVERNMENT ON THE ISSUES IN THE COMMISSIONS IN WHICH THEY PARTICIPATE. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT THAT WE BE ADVISED REGULARLY ON THE EVOLVING ATTITUDE OF YOUR CAPITAL TOWARD THE DIALOGUE IN GENERAL, THE IMPORTANCE WITH WHICH IT VIEWS EACH COMMISSION, AND HOW IT VIEWS THE POSITIONS AND ATTITUDES OF OTHER DIALOGUE PARTICIPANTS. ANY EVIDENCE OF DISSENSION WITHIN THE OPEC/LDC ALLIANCE AND REASONS THERE OR OR EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY WOULD BE PARTICULARLY USEFUL. USUN SHOULD

CONTRIBUTE TO THIS ASSESSMENT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.

3. THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS BRIEFLY SUUMARIZE OUR POLICY IN EACH COMMISSION AND LISTS KEY ISSUES THAT WILL PROBABLY BE RAISED FOR DISCUSSION IN EACH COMMISSION. SEE REFTEL B FOR OTHER ISSUES THE G-19 IS LIKELY TO RAISE. POSTS SHOULD NOT REPREAT NOT DISCUSS ANY OF WHAT FOLLOWS WITH HOST GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS. WE WANT POSTS' OWN ASSESSMENT OF THE HOST COUNTRY ATTITUDE AND LIKELY RESPONSE TO THE ISSUES LISTED FOR EACH COMMISSION IN WHICH THEY ARE PARTICIPANTS.

4. IN THE ENERGY COMMISSION, WE WANT TO MAKE THE CASE IN A NON-CONFRONTATIONAL MANNER FOR LOWER OIL PRICES. WE ALSO WANT TO SEEK SPECIFIC AREAS FOR COOPERATION BETWEEN PRODUCERS AND CONSUMERS FOR DEVELOPING NEW ENERGY SUPPLIES AND USING ENERGY MORE EFFICIENTLY. WE EXPECT THE OPEC COUNTRIES TO PRESS FOR INDEXATION OR SOME OTHER MECHANISM TO ENSURE MAINTENANCE OF VALUE OF THEIR OIL EXPORTS. WHAT IS THE ATTITUDE OF SAUDI ARABIA, IRAN, IRAQ, VENEZUELA, ALGERIA, BRAZIL, EGYPT, INDIA, JAMAICA, CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 020560

AND ZAIRE TOWARD:

-- THE IMPACT OF HIGH OIL PRICES ON THE WORLD ECONOMY;

-- THE IMPACT OF HIGH OIL PRICES ON THEIR OWN AND OTHER LDC'S BALANCE OF PAYMENTS AND DEVELOPMENT PLANS. THERE WAS EVIDENCE OF DIFFERENCE OF VIEWS WITHIN THE G-19 CAUCUS IN PARIS EARLIER THIS MONTH ON THE NEED TO TREAT BALANCE OF PAYMENTS IMPACT OF OIL PRICE INCREASES ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. ATTITUDES OF HOST GOVERNMENTS ON THIS ISSUE WOULD BE PARTICULARLY HELPFUL.

-- THE IMPORTANCE OF SECURITY OF ENERGY SUPPLY OR SECURITY OF ENERGY MARKETS, AND THE CONNECTION BETWEEN

THEM;

-- THE DEGREE TO WHICH LONG-TERM COOPERATION ON  
KEY ENERGY ISSUES IS PERCEIVED TO BE MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL;

-- THE NEED FOR THE TRANSFER OF WESTERN TECHNOLOGY  
TO ASSIST IN DEVELOPMENT OF LDC'S CONVENTIONAL AND NON-  
CONVENTIONAL ENERGY;

-- THE DEGREE TO WHICH HOST GOVERNMENTS WOULD  
FAVOR OR VIEW SUSPICIOUSLY OFFERS BY INDUSTRIAL COUNTRIES  
TO ASSIST IN EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR ENERGY  
RESOURCES AND/OR TO USE ENERGY MORE EFFICIENTLY;

-- SECRETARY KISSINGER'S PROPOSAL FOR THE  
ESTABLISHMENT OF AN INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INSTITUTE TO  
COUPLE WESTERN TECHNOLOGY WITH OPEC FINANCE TO HELP LDCS  
COPE WITH THEIR ENERGY PROBLEMS; AND

-- INDEXATION OF OIL PRICES OR SOME OTHER  
MECHANISM TO MAINTAIN THE VALUE OF OIL EXPORTS.

5. IN THE RAW MATERIALS COMMISSION, WE WANT TO INCREASE  
UNDERSTANDING OF GENERAL COMMODITY ISSUES AND GIVE IMPETUS  
TO CONSIDERATION OF THE RELEVANT INITIATIVES OF THE  
SECRETARY IN OTHER FORUMS. WE DO NOT INTEND TO CONDUCT  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 020560

COMMODITY NEGOTIATIONS IN THIS COMMISSION AND WILL EMPHA-  
SIZE, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, DISCUSSION OF GENERAL  
COMMODITY ISSUES, WE WILL ALSO PUSH OUR PROPOSAL FOR  
ESTABLISHING PRODUCER/CONSUMER FORUMS FOR EACH KEY  
COMMODITY. REGARDING PERU, INDONESIA, NIGERIA,  
VENEZUELA, ARGENTINA, CAMEROON, MEXICO, YUGOSLAVIA, ZAIRE  
AND ZAMBIA:

-- WHAT COMMODITIES DO THEY INTEND TO EMPHASIZE  
IN THIS COMMISSION?

-- HOW STRONG IS THEIR INTEREST IN COMMODITY  
AGREEMENTS OR OTHER SIMILAR ARRANGEMENTS? WHAT TYPE OF  
TERMS DO THEY SEEK? HOW HARD WILL THEY PRESS FOR COMMIT-  
MENT BY THE COMMISSIONS FOR SUCH AGREEMENTS?

-- WHAT ARE THEIR VIEWS ON GENERAL COMMODITY SUB-  
JECTS SUCH AS UNCTAD'S INTEGRATED PROGRAM, COMPENSATORY  
FINANCING, INDEXATION OF COMMODITY PRICES, INVESTMENT  
AND TRADE POLICIES AS THEY RELATE TO COMMODITIES, ETC?

-- TO WHAT EXTENT CAN THEY BE EXPECTED TO REPRE-  
SENT THE INTERESTS OF OTHER LDCS NOT REPRESENTED ON THE  
COMMISSION IN ADDITION TO THEIR OWN.

6. IN THE DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, WE WILL SEEK TO  
DRAMATIZE THE CURRENT FINANCING NEEDS OF THE LDC'S AND  
TO HEIGHTEN LDC AWARENESS OF CONTRIBUTIONS OPEC CAN AND  
SHOULD MAKE IN THIS REGARD. IN ADDITION, WE WILL  
SEEK TO DRAW OPEC INTO THE ESTABLISHED INTERNATIONAL

COMMUNITY OF AID DONORS, TO BUILD CONSENSUS FOR SECRETARY  
KISSINGER'S UNGA SEVENTH SPECIAL SESSION INITIATIVES,  
AND TO DEVELOP SUPPORT FOR U.S. VIEWPOINTS ON A BROAD  
RANGE OF MATTERS RELATED TO NORTH/SOUTH ISSUES UNDER  
CONSIDERATION IN OTHER FORUMS. WHAT IS THE ATTITUDE OF  
ALGERIA, ARGENTINA, CAMEROON, INDIA, JAMAICA, NIGERIA,  
PAKISTAN, SAUDI ARABIA, YUGOSLAVIA, AND ZAMBIA TOWARD:

-- IMMEDIATE FINANCIAL NEEDS OF NON-OIL LDCS,  
PARTICULARLY MSAS;  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 020560

-- NEED OF NON-OIL LDCS FOR INCREASED LONG-TERM  
DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE;

-- PROPOSALS FOR DEALING WITH LDC FINANCING  
NEEDS, PARTICULARLY THOSE CONTAINED IN THE SECRETARY'S  
UN SEVENTH SPECIAL SESSION ADDRESS.

-- RESPONSIBILITY OF OPEC TO INCREASE ITS AID  
AND DISTRIBUTE THIS AID TO A WIDER RANGE OF COUNTRIES;

-- CAPITAL MARKET ACCESS FOR LDC'S, INCLUDING THE  
PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT TRUST.

-- OUR VARIOUS FOOD INITIATIVES, INCLUDING  
INCREASED FOOD AID, ESTABLISHMENT OF AN INTERNATIONAL  
FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT (IFAD), AND CREATION  
OF AN INTERNATIONAL GRAIN RESERVE;

-- TERMS OF TRADE, ACCESS TO MARKETS, AND ELIMIN-  
ATION OF TARIFF AND NON-TARIFF BARRIERS;

-- NEED TO IMPROVE GSP;

-- LDC DEBT ISSUES

-- TRANSFER OF WESTERN TECHNOLOGY TO ACCELERATE  
LDC DEVELOPMENT

-- INVESTMENT ISSUES, INCLUDING A CODE OF CONDUCT  
FOR MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND THE NEED FOR  
AN INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT INSURANCE SCHEME.

-- AID TARGETS, AID UNTYING AND INCREASING THE

EFFECTIVENESS OF AID USAGE.

FURTHER, WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN INFORMATION ON  
HOW THE HOST GOVERNMENT INTENDS TO COORDINATE ITS

APPROACH ON THESE AND OTHER ISSUES IN THE VARIOUS ON -GO-  
ING FORUMS E.G. UNCTAD VS CIEC.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 020560

7. WE PREFER TO KEEP THE FINANCE COMMISSION IN THE  
BACKGROUND IN THE DIALOGUE AND TO EMPHASIZE PRIMARILY ITS  
ROLE AS AN EXPERTS' GROUP PROVIDING ADVICE TO THE OTHER  
COMMISSIONS ON FINANCIAL ISSUES. NEVERTHELESS, WE EXPECT  
THE LDCS TO INSIST ON DISCUSSING A NUMBER OF FINANCIAL  
ISSUES INDEPENDENT FROM THE WORK OF THE OTHER COMMISSIONS,  
AND WE ARE PREPARED TO DO SO IN VARIOUS CASES. THERE ARE,  
HOWEVER, TOPICS RELATED TO THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE  
IMF AND IBRD WHICH WE WILL RESIST DISCUSSING IN THE CIEC  
ON THE GROUNDS THEY ARE NOT WITHIN ITS MANDATE. MANY  
TOPICS MENTIONED IN THE G-19 LIST (REFTEL B) UNFORTUNATE-  
LY FALL IN THIS CATEGORY. WE NEED INFORMATION ON THE  
PRIORITIES OF BRAZIL, EGYPT, INDIA, INDONESIA, IRAN, IRAQ,  
MEXICO, PAKISTAN, SAUDI ARABIA, AND ZAMBIA ON TOPICS  
THAT MAY BE RAISED IN THE FINANCE COMMISSION. IN  
PARTICULAR (BUT NOT EXCLUSIVELY) WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW  
THEIR VIEWS ON THE FOLLOWING TOPICS:

-- MAINTENANCE OF THE REAL VALUE OF PRODUCERS'  
FINANCIAL ASSETS THROUGH INDEXATION TO COMPENSATE FOR  
INFLATION AND EXCHANGE RATE GUARANTEES.

-- GUARANTEES AGAINST EXPROPRIATION, SEIZURE, ETC.  
OF OIL PRODUCERS' ASSETS.

-- TRIANGULAR INVESTMENTS COMBINING OPEC CAPITAL  
AND INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRY TECHNOLOGY (AND POSSIBLY  
CAPITAL) IN INVESTMENTS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

-- DEVELOPED COUNTRY ASSISTANCE TO OPEC AND OTHER  
LDCS IN DEVELOPING THEIR DOMESTIC FINANCIAL AND CAPITAL  
MARKETS.

-- GLOBAL B/P POSITION (EMPHASIZE SITUATION OF  
NON-OIL LDC'S AND FINANCIAL EFFECTS IN LDCS OF ENERGY  
PRICES).

-- LDC ACCESS TO CAPITAL MARKETS, PARTICULARLY  
ISSUE OF INDUSTRIALIZE COUNTRY GUARANTEES.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 020560

FYI: WE BELIEVE THE FIRST FOUR OF THESE TOPICS ARE  
LIKELY TO BE RAISED BY OPEC PARTICIPANTS. SAUDI ARABIA  
HAS EXPRESSED PARTICULAR INTEREST. THE TWO LATTER ITEMS  
ARE ONES WHICH WE TENTATIVELY PLAN TO SUBMIT TO THE  
FINANCE COMMISSION.

8. WE WILL KEEP POSTS ALERTED TO IMPORTANT DEVELOPMENTS  
IN THE COMMISSIONS TO FACILITATE YOUR CONTINUED REPORT-  
ING ON YOUR COUNTRY'S ROLE IN AND ATTITUDE TOWARD THE  
DIALOGUE.

9. USOECD AND USUN SHOULD BE INFO FOR ALL CIEC REPORT-  
ING.

10. IN RESPONDING, ADDRESSEE POSTS MAY REFERENCE  
PREVIOUS REPORTING AS APPROPRIATE. KISSINGER

UNQUOTE KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 15 SEP 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** POLICIES, MEETING AGENDA, ECONOMIC COOPERATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 30 JAN 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ShawDG  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976STATE020560  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** EUR/RPE:ADSENS:FF  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D760036-0109  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760199/baaaeoap.tel  
**Line Count:** 292  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 05 APR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <05 APR 2004 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <28 JUL 2004 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR WORK OF CIEC  
**TAGS:** ENRG, ECON, US, XX, CIEC  
**To:** n/a INFO RUFNPS OECD PARIS  
EC BRUSSELS  
COPENHAGEN  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006